

SHORT INTERVIEW WITH DR. LOCK ON MWI PAPER AND EBOOK

This is the short interview with Dr .Lock on his latest paper about MWI; Quantum Mechanics Many-world's Interpretation. The paper is now on sale on Amazon as a kindle book. You can purchase it via the following link.

[The Potentia Field A new view of Quantum Mechanics Many Worlds Interpretation](#)

(Japanese)

shima: This paper brought various thought ventures toward the mystery of time and universes to me. I guess some readers would not agree perfectly with the perspective of this paper; but, I strongly believe that this paper will be a catalyst for readers' minds, and generate active discussions; and I assume it is one of the intentions of this paper.

Dr.: You assume correctly. The purposes of this eBook are manifold.

The main purpose is to capture the attention of Quantum physicists, especially those believing in the Many Worlds Interpretation, in the hope that they will read it carefully and come to see the errors, where present, within their “reasoning” and “philosophies”. Obviously, this is a very ambitious undertaking as the content, much like the WingMakers material content is really aimed at a future audience. For this eBook that future is somewhere in the region of 100 years from now so I'm not expecting any instant recognition.

Another reason is to present publicly the opportunity to think hard about our reality, what it is, and what it is not; and to a certain degree this includes time and time travel, though I would only advise readers to think about time travel to the degree that they are comfortable doing so. What the eBook presents is, as you put it so well, some “thought ventures” in which previously unexplored areas of consideration can be made with respect to our reality. It is not my intention to have people just believe what I have written but to consider it with an open mind and traverse its lines of thought to viewpoints that will become far more acceptable in the coming centuries – and to discuss these now. Why?

It is not *just* feelings that lead to transformation, paramount as they are; reason and

logic in their pure and accurate – truthful -- form lead to a balance of perspective and insight where the right and left brain are utilized for the discovery and development of truth.

shima: The right brain is inspired by Art. And it is the nouvelle interpretation of WingMakers and your paper that we can learn about our universe from the Art. I think this is one of the biggest concepts of WMM. The Art tells us about the mystery of science.

Dr.:Yes, the arts reveal what science cannot. As *The Potentia Field* shows, we have reached the stage where science requires a true art of philosophy to come to terms with quantum mechanics at the theoretical level. Philosophy and reason are arts just as much as fine art painting or illustration. It was the WMM, as far as I am aware, that seminally introduced the concept of the sciences and arts coming together in the future as the dividing lines between these disciplines blur and fade away. It is because science as a discipline has ignored philosophy and the arts that scientists have erred as science itself evolves into this field of philosophy. A fresh philosophical and artistic contribution is necessary that will remain within the “scientific” domain. Philosophy and art can obviously far better illustrate spirit, psychology and other non-physical realms and their positions in the multidimensional nature of reality than mere physical scientific disciplines. Art and philosophy can portray the side of reality that the tools of science cannot grasp and dissect.

In the late 20th and early 21st centuries feelings and intuition have for many people, especially those who moved to the New Age and associated movements, taken the greater part of their attention, partly as a conscious and/or subconscious reaction to the stunted and uncreative “logic” and “reason” pervading the authoritative educational system that all have grown up indoctrinated in, and partly due to the misuse of reason logic and science resulting in scientists and technocrats creating ever more devious and deadly modes of war, destruction and means of rampaging over, if not raping, the environment. There has been a natural aversion to and therefore moving away from this by those believing in and working towards, a greater, more evolved, wiser and loving society.

So another aim of the eBook is to straighten out some of these bent nails of faulty logic

and reason, which have invaded the scientific community at its highest levels and is clearly evident in the false logic of most present philosophizing quantum physicists.

The word logic comes from Logos – “the cosmic idea or spirit of creativity or rationality” (Shorter OED). The Greek *logos* had a wide range of meanings from *speech, saying to reason, reckoning, calculation, ratio* and is directly related to *logarithm* which came from the Greek *logos* and *arithmos* or arithmetic (Ayto *Dictionary of Word Origins*): the language of exactness and truthfulness, where there is no lie. Math is, and logos are, the exact -- truthful – science which cannot be argued with; it is and they are absolute. We cannot argue with $3 + 2 = 5$, $E = MC^2$, or the data of computer 1s and 0s. They are truisms.

Logos is also related to the suffix “logy” or “ology” and by extension all “ologies” be they ecologies, sociologies, technologies, *what-ever-ology*. Here *logos* or *ologies* all relate to a particular branch of *knowledge* or *experience*; the two essential components of wisdom which is developed from experience and knowledge after reasonable and considered reflection or meditation. Logos – or true pure logic -- leads to – indeed is absolutely necessary – for the development of wisdom and the evolution of mankind.

So my purpose in this field is to awaken not just the layman but especially scientists to the fact that many have lost their accurate logic and reason and have fallen for a false logic and false reason. This also relates to the anti-Christ, where the recent contention portrays *Christos* as the light of creation spirit and truth (there are opposing interpretations but that is for another discussion); and to awaken the public to the fact that logic, reason, and thinking with a clear aim of arriving at pure truth is something to be cherished rather than ignored or avoided due to prior negative associations.

【Note: Christos】

In many New Age circles the word *Christos* or *Kristos* is regarded as being the Greek transliteration of the English *Christ*. It is why the Japanese Iesu Kurisutos (coming from the earlier Portuguese) is probably more authentic in name than the English *Jesus Christ* which is the most modern derivative of the ancient name. That word *Christos* is then said to represent the light of Christ or spirit. Many New Age groups and Christians have thus referred to the light of Christ.

There are, however, other interpretations or usages of the word *Christos*. One is the sacrificial element in Greek sacrifices that was called *Kristos* or *Christos*, and these were

live sacrifices, actually anything but representative of the true light of spirit, though the ancient practitioners may have thought otherwise. Those sacrifices being particularly gruesome live sacrifices might be why Paul or the Greeks used this term for Iesu Immanuel as he might have been seen as being similarly sacrificed. This sacrificial element would then be more easily assimilated into Greek culture and society.

Thus, connotations and meanings of the word *Christos* can be poles apart.

Meier and the Plejaren have said this *Christos* and by extension *Christ* usage is actually an anti Christ usage being representative of the most degraded live sacrifices and therefore the antithesis of spiritual light, Logos, reason and understanding.

shima: Originally, MWI of Everett was a kind of antithesis against the Copenhagen Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics of Bohr. What do you think about the problem of the reduction of the wave function?

Dr.: At the risk of sounding evasive, I don't really think too much about it. There are so many different interpretations by so many different scientists that it becomes a major challenge to really address anyone's entire philosophy in Quantum Mechanics. For example I could ask, "What, or whose, problem of the reduction of the wave function, and why should that be a *problem* for me?" The question assumes that I believe there is a problem. The *problem* can be defined in so many different ways that it is difficult to know we wouldn't be talking at cross purposes. Bohr, Everett and I would all see *the problem* as something quite different. To briefly outline where I agree and disagree, which is probably all I can do here, I offer the following:

I agree with Bohr and the Copenhagen Interpretation (CI) to the degree that the utilization of LERM to create something physical from out of the *potentia* field would collapse – or reduce – the wave function to the one actual created out of the many potential constructs. I also agree that again utilizing LERM would mean we are interacting with the quantum and through that "observation" we affect it and bring something actual into existence. I think that is about as far as I agree with CI, but realizing this philosophy was put forward almost a century ago it contributed a significant step forward in its day.

Observing the quantum or potential realm, however, is not comparable to observing the physical realm. The quantum is so "unstable" because it is potential or potentials not

the actual. Our observation of the quantum interferes with it and thus affects it. Our observation of the moon as we sit on a hillside does not affect the moon in any observable degree. The two realities are literally worlds apart and yet some in the CI managed to conflate the two by a classic leap of faulty logic when maintaining that the moon did not exist until we observed it; that is a purely subjective and highly ignorant statement, of course. If the moon were not there in actuality we would all instantly become painfully aware of it, especially about a quarter of the women on the planet, the tides would all change and the entire gravity situation of the planet would also change. Observation in the form of just seeing does almost nothing – until we get to the quantum level, where we need to intrude and upset the system in order to observe.

The old Zen aphorism “If a tree should fall in the forest and there is no one there to observe it would it make a sound?” is often cited in support of the CI, and it’s a classic example of their faulty logic. The aphorism is actually one of the worst Zen aphorisms available, because it doesn’t actually work. The answer to this supposed riddle should have been apparent since around a hundred years ago. It all hinges on what “*sound*” is. A sound is merely a frequency picked up by a registering device, for the human instrument an ear, and relayed to the brain, recorded and then interpreted as sound. It has nothing *par se* to do with people. Any functioning ear connected to a functioning brain will hear the sound. If no people are present the ears of insects, birds, animals and any living organisms capable of hearing will hear it all in their own particular way, and also usually respond to it. A better aphorism to think over would be, “If there is no ear to hear a tree fall would there be a tree?” We are all one composite human instrument. Could the tree actually exist if there were no insects, birds, or other life forms around? It probably could exist but the soil nourishing it would be poor in quality without the action of insects and creatures with their own form of ear that so enrich our soils – especially in a forest.

It is thinking like this that the eBook is designed to elicit from the reader.

shima:What you are saying is the world is created by consciousness of beings collectively?

Dr.: What I’m saying is the human instrument as defined in the WMM is a symbiotic one as a collective. It is difficult therefore to predict accurately what happens should

any one element no longer be present. Such changes do occur, and when occurring they can be extraordinarily disruptive to other species within the human instrument.

The world, defined as the planet, is slightly different: it was here before mankind or the human instrument appeared upon it. The world affects us and we it, but the world in total is (and was) not created by the consciousness of beings alone. We do now, however, have dominion over the planet and whilst we did not create it we could easily destroy it turning it into another bunch of space rocks orbiting the sun. So our relationship with the world is central and critical; and the land surface of the planet and the nature of the sea are very much affected by the consciousness of beings collectively.

As for Everett: He was convinced without any real shred of evidence that thousands of earths and versions of himself – as Everett, not as different individuated spirits incarnating from the same Entity – exist. It is a romantic idea that has gained much public acceptance – who wouldn't want to live forever? But that adds not one whit to making it accurate, of course, and as readers will see I take complete issue with the impossible notion.

There are, however, IMO thousands, even billions upon billions of worlds out there, and dimensions with other worlds too, but they are not *absolutely* identical to ours -- not one anywhere in Creation. Source does not engage in such wasteful duplication. Everything in the multiverse that lives is absolutely unique; but read the eBook.

To return to *the problem*: The problem of the reduction of the wavefunction as I see it is that it requires an absolute attunement to First Source, and the utilization of First Source and Source Intelligence energies. The *Ancient Arrow Book* alluded to this problem metaphorically. While it takes creative will to attune to and use LERM, once attuned one will only be capable of the highest love and desire to assist humanity and life further evolve in the most natural ways. This will preclude a lot of what many people might want to utilize LERM for. In short by the time we get there, what do we need it for? There could be mitigating circumstances where we could use it. Jmmanuel, Jesus or Iesu, used it to feed the hungry for example; Neruda (mythological, in the book) used it to get the knowledge of the WingMakers important message out. It is not used for self aggrandizement or to make one look good or special in any way.

In addition there is the problem I mention of the energy required, and the likelihood

that one needs a very developed spirit, far more developed than we find generally on the earth. We have a lot of evolution to do in my opinion before we can utilize LERM. This is our problem; and our challenge. So we must evolve and do all that is necessary to evolve in goodness on our respective paths to First Source union. But this is not a problem; it is a magnificent blessing and opportunity, while LERM utilization is a time shifted reality for us; that may be seen as a problem, but it's a fun one to look forward to and to tackle today.

shima: I think what makes your paper most unique is the concept of spirit. In my opinion, although we could not say the concept of spirit is a perfectly scientific approach at least to date, the whole design of this paper has very much validity.

Dr.: Yes. I was very aware that I was writing a philosophical paper, not grounded in math or the hard mechanics of quantum mechanics. Science when practiced correctly deals with the noble art of knowledge. It is perhaps paradoxically an *art* to arrive there at *true* science. As we know spirit lies beyond the realm of knowledge in the strict physical sense. Science is an absolutely necessary step in humanity's evolution, but we eventually evolve on beyond physical knowledge alone where spirit too is the guide nudging one onward. But without science the true *knowledge* of spirit is lost to mankind and it is for this reason that the grand Portal – and true science -- is of such significance.

In the early 21st century Earth stands before the door of spirit knocking on it, unsure of whom or what will answer its knock. It's like waiting for the elevator to come down. We do not know if it will be full, half-full, empty, nor how those greeting us will be or behave. For some the anticipation is palpable. For scientists, however, the wait is unsatisfactory. They need some answers now. So they ignore the door and elevator and give their attention to other things, maybe the escalator or stairs. This paper or eBook started out with recognition of this apparent divide in society between the two groups, the spirit focused and the science focused, and I hope the material bridges this divide and brings us together by checking on the details so that whichever way we choose to travel we end up together on the same higher floor and place we both wish to reach.

shima: Perhaps the science will discover the proof of spirit in the future, like Higgs

particle. (I regard Higgs particle generates from Source Intelligence)

Dr.: Science can only present certain observational data. With all the data we have available today there are, however, still people who believe the earth is flat. For them the *proof* is not proof. Proof has to come from within accepting of true logic, reason and understanding; and then or concurrently made human with feelings of respect and love for all life. Science can provide us with facts of life, but as humans it is of paramount importance not just what life is but how we live that life and relate to others. When we do this in goodness, truth, love, and understanding, when we are reasonable with others due to our exercising of reasoning, when we are fair, honest, and engage our thinking to develop and use our knowledge we advance and evolve as human beings. As we evolve as human beings we come closer to spirit and the day when we will evolve into the pure spirit realm which is yet far away, but before us as sure as is death. This is our purpose and our destiny. Embrace it with love and care.

shima: This is an interesting accident, but this issue contains the contents about vertical time. (shima's note)

Dr.: Yes. It's a beautiful little note and not really coincidence. When people are working together or separately on a similar idea or concept it is not unusual for them to pick up similar frequencies from the genetic mind as the time unfolds for certain frequency data streams to come forward.

The cover of the eBook was another example of this.

There is a coming together of energies and a feeling of "Ah, yes" as these frequencies unify within one or more people, or genetic mind subset.

shima: I found that when we cross over your paper and WingMakers' Philosophy, we began to understand dimly the mystery of time.

Dr.: It's a modest beginning, and yes both are philosophies because this is the best way to express these truths that are impossible to prove until we start travelling through time; and even then much about time will remain unproven IMO. Time is one of the final and greatest mysteries of the universe. We will be researching it yet for thousands of years. Later, in the post Grand Portal era, the nature of First Source will become the *greatest mystery of all* to research. To me they are the two greatest mysteries of

existence.

shima: Entity model. BST, LERM, Time Travel, and Grand Portal, they are all connected.

Dr.: Yes, although BST is the one I would discuss as most different from the others. It is the one that relates more to the Labyrinth Group's hypothesis than the WingMakers philosophy. But perhaps we can discuss that next time.

shima: Finally we are about to reach the ultimate theme, the Grand Portal. Our long discussion from 2008 may reach an Apex at next interview.

Thank you for commenting, Dr. I hope this paper contributes toward the new understanding of our universes.

Dr.: Thank you shima. The pleasure is mine. If anyone has any questions about anything in this interview or the eBook, do feel free to ask them and I'll do my best to answer.

To your continued evolution towards spirit as you grow in abundance of knowledge, truth, wisdom, understanding and love on your path.

Chris

End of Session